

92AAAALPC Conference 1
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2 -----x
2
3 ALPHA CAPITAL ANSTALT,
3
4 Plaintiff,
4
5 v. 09 CV 670 (LAK)
6 ADVANCED CELL TECHNOLOGY,
6 INC.,
7
7 Defendant.
8 -----x
9
10 New York, N.Y.
10 February 10, 2009
11 Before: 3:00 p.m.
12 HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,
13 District Judge
14 APPEARANCES
15 KENNETH A. ZITTER
15 Attorney for Plaintiff Alpha
16 BACHNER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
17 Attorneys for Defendant Advanced Cell
17 BY: MICHAEL FRED BACHNER
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

EXHIBIT P

92AAAALPC

Conference

2

1 (Case called)

2 MR. ZITTER: Kenneth A. Zitter, 260 Madison Avenue,
3 New York, New York, counsel for plaintiff Alpha Capital
4 Anstalt.

5 MR. BACHNER: Good afternoon or good morning,
6 actually, your Honor.

7 Michael Bachner. With me is Kevin O' Brian. We
8 represent Advanced Cell Technology.

9 THE COURT: Good morning.

10 Okay. Does the plaintiff have any more evidence to
11 present?

12 MR. ZITTER: Well, your Honor, last time we were here
13 you said you wanted to hold a hearing. I have Mr. Rabinowitz
14 here physically in court. He is the one who signed the aff --
15 one of the affirmations in support of the order to show cause.
16 I don't think there is any dispute about the facts but if your
17 Honor wants live witness testimony --

18 THE COURT: I couldn't quite follow the whole
19 sentence. You said you didn't think there was any dispute
20 about the facts --

21 MR. ZITTER: Right, about the facts that
22 Mr. Rabinowitz put forth in the affirmation. So I don't know
23 if it advances the ball to have him testify but he is available
24 to testify if your Honor would prefer live testimony.

25 THE COURT: I don't have to listen to something that
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

3

1 I've read already. So it's really going to be up to
2 Mr. Bachner if he feels he needs to cross-examine
3 Mr. Rabinowitz.

4 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we're satisfied actually
5 that the papers set forth accurately Mr. Rabinowitz's position
6 as well.

7 THE COURT: OK. Fine. Thank you. So is there any
8 other evidence for the plaintiff?

9 MR. ZITTER: No, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll hear Mr. Bachner's side.

11 MR. BACHNER: Thank you, your Honor.

12 Your Honor, we're here in front of you today, frankly,
13 we were hoping that we would be in front of you today telling
14 you that this matter had been resolved. My office through
15 Mr. O'Brien --

16 THE COURT: You were not alone in that.

17 MR. BACHNER: I know, your Honor. And we were working
18 fairly diligently with Mr. Zitter at some really long hours
19 over the course of the weekend. And, frankly, your Honor, we
20 thought that as of nine o'clock this morning that this was
21 going to be resolved. A glitch came about, your Honor, in some
22 of the dealings with Mr. Caldwell's other corporate counsel
23 about concerns that they had in connection with the settlement
24 issues. And we thought it was appropriate, your Honor, even
25 though Mr. Ryan indicates to me that he thinks you may have

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

4

1 actually in some way addressed this last time. And if you did
2 I apologize to the Court and if you have addressed it, your
3 Honor, whatever order your Honor issues we will abide by, of
4 course, and so will the company.

5 Mr. Caldwell, your Honor, frankly, would have been
6 here. We hoped that this was going to be resolved and he
7 didn't have to incur, the company didn't have to incur the
8 expense of coming down here. Mr. Caldwell if you want to speak
9 to him about any questions that your Honor may have he is
10 available by phone to do that.

11 THE COURT: This is not an inquisitorial process.
12 There's been a motion made. You've put in no papers in
13 opposition. If you want to put on a witness I'll certainly
14 hear that. So the ball is in your court.

15 MR. BACHNER: That's fine, your Honor. We thought you
16 might have been questions of Mr. Caldwell that we're weren't
17 ready to answer. We're ready to answer them today. You had
18 questions for Mr. Ryan. I don't know if it's necessary. We
19 didn't mean any disrespect to the Court by not having him here.

20 THE COURT: I appreciate that. I had a lot of
21 questions. I wanted to know whether the financial condition of
22 the company is any better or worse today than it was as of
23 March 31, 2008 when it filed it's last queue. I wanted to know
24 about the amount of shares authorized issued and outstanding
25 were.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

5

1 MR. BACHNER: We have that information, your Honor.
2 We have it through certification by Mr. Caldwell and he is
3 ready to go on the phone and tell it to your Honor.

4 THE COURT: What do you mean?

5 MR. BACHNER: As part of what was going to be the
6 original settlement Mr. Caldwell put together a certification
7 by him indicating how many outstanding shares there were.
8 There were five hundred million shares outstanding, your Honor,
9 authorized actually. And there are six million shares, your
10 Honor, not yet issued.

11 THE COURT: So you've issued four million nine hundred
12 and 94 million?

13 MR. BACHNER: Yes, your Honor. And there's six
14 million shares -- actually, your Honor, there were 493,805,641
15 shares.

16 THE COURT: 493,895 and what?

17 MR. BACHNER: 641, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: That's what's issued and without standing?

19 MR. BACHNER: That's correct, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: So the balance that are authorized but not
21 yet issued is six million, right?

22 MR. BACHNER: I apologize, your Honor. I spoke over
23 you.

24 THE COURT: The authorized but not yet issued are
25 about six point two million?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

6

1 MR. BACHNER: Correct, your Honor.

2 Judge, this was the glitch that put us up and whatever
3 your Honor rules on this we will abide by of course.

4 One of the provisions, and it was brought up in the
5 motion papers, has to do with this giving preference to other
6 debenture holders. There are other debenture holders who are
7 in the same position. Although, they haven't come to court yet
8 regarding their situation as well. On page --

9 MR. ZITTER: Your Honor, I don't mean to interrupt
10 Mr. Bachner but he is testifying, your Honor. He is giving
11 basically testimony as to what the facts are. He doesn't know
12 them. He is not sworn. He doesn't have a witness here.

13 THE COURT: One of the things that I was going to
14 inquire of you, Mr. Zitter, at least to the point that he had
15 gone so far, the shares authorizes issued and outstanding. Do
16 you have any quarrel with accepting those numbers?

17 MR. ZITTER: Yes, I do, your Honor. I have serious
18 quarrel with it. First of all, we have no basis to know if
19 it's true or false.

20 Second, on Friday immediately after the hearing that
21 we had on that day I sent an e-mail to Mr. Bachner's office
22 saying send me the documentation which shows the shares which
23 were issued which were outstanding and how many are left. I
24 don't have any documents, your Honor. I don't know whether it
25 is true or not. In the course of our settlement negotiations I

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC Conference 7
2 heard at least four different numbers of how many shares were
3 authorized but as yet unissued. I have no basis to say.
4 There's no witness here to give testimony about it, your Honor,
and I would object.

16 THE COURT: So presumably you want to offer it, right?
17 MR. O'BRIEN: That's correct.

18 THE COURT: Mark it as Defendant's Exhibit .
19

19 MR. BACHNER: Should we approach?
20

20 THE COURT: Yes. Show it to Mr. Zitter first
21 (Revered)

22 (Pause) MR. ENGINEER 22

offer to show this to the court, Your Honor, just so we're clear.

23 offer to show this to Mr. Zitter before court and he said he
24 wouldn't accept it or look at it. We're not --
25

MAR. ZITTER: I would object to the introduction into
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC Conference
2 evidence, your Honor. It's pure hearsay. We've asked for the
3 documents which back it up. They have not been provided to us
4 and Mr. Caldwell is not in court to be subject to
cross-examination.

5 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, while we agree he is not
6 physically here, he is available by telephone, your Honor, to
7 be cross-examined and placed under oath.

8 Your Honor, if I might just continue, the position
9 that we found ourselves, that is, the company found themselves
10 in, is that under the provisions of the agreement that we all
11 agree exists, I mean the provision itself, there is a provision
12 which essentially, which does indicate all purchasers have to
13 receive equal treatment and the concern that we had, your
14 Honor, is that by issuing the six million shares --

15 THE COURT: What provision are you referring to?
16 MR. BACHNER: It's on page 31 of Exhibit P. It's
17 paragraph 4.15. Starts off --

18 THE COURT: I am trying to read it.

19 MR. BACHNER: I'm sorry.

20 (Pause)

21 THE COURT: I've read it. How does that bear on
22 honoring a right to convert the debenture into stock?

23 MR. BACHNER: The concern that had come up, your
24 Honor, was that by issuing all of, by giving all of the
25 outstanding remaining shares to Alpha we are, in fact,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

9

1 violating the terms of the agreement indicating that we are not
2 to be given, that all of the purchasers are to be given equal
3 treatment and that we're not permitted, your Honor, to offer
4 consideration to one purchaser that is not offered to another.

5 THE COURT: But, Mr. Bachner, you are not reading the
6 same paragraph I am reading if you think it says that. The
7 first sentence says, no consideration shall be offered or paid
8 to any person for a particular purpose. That particular
9 purpose is to amend or consent to a waiver or a modification of
10 any transaction documents unless the same consideration is
11 given to others.

12 Now, honoring a debenture holder's contractual right
13 to convert the debenture holder's election, the debentures into
14 common stock does not constitute the payment of any
15 consideration, I think. But even if it did, it would be for a
16 purpose different than the one that the first sentence of
17 Section 4.15 speaks to, wouldn't it?

18 MR. BACHNER: Which is waiver or amendment. Which is
19 amendment or --

20 THE COURT: Waiver or amendment of the transaction
21 document.

22 MR. BACHNER: As I understand it, your Honor, the
23 problem that we had with those words was that the amount, the
24 price at which the debentures was going to be converted over
25 was at two cents. As we understand it, the debenture agreement

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

10

1 was that either it was that I think the lowest price was 15
2 cents. So we were amending the agreement to give the
3 debentures at a price substantially lower than what was
4 reflected in the agreement.

5 THE COURT: I don't understand that.

6 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, I am going to be very
7 candid. Mr. O'Brien, if he could address that I would
8 appreciate that.

9 THE COURT: All right.

10 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, because as the plaintiffs
11 are alleging the conversion price would be reduced to two cents
12 per share because of other issuances that trigger the -- I'm
13 sorry. I don't have it in front of me at this moment. Under
14 the subsequent equities sales provision in both of the
15 debentures at page 13 of Exhibit A the conversion price is
16 being reduced to reflect the subsequent issuance of shares at a
17 price below the conversion price of the debentures and
18 therefore --

19 THE COURT: I don't understand. The conversion price
20 of the debentures?

21 MR. O'BRIEN: The conversion price of the debentures
22 depending on the debenture on the face value is either 35 cents
23 or 15 cents. The argument that plaintiffs are advancing is
24 that that advance sale issued shares to a third party at two
25 cents per share and thus the subsequent equity sales provision

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC Conference
1 of the debentures is triggered.
2

11

3 THE COURT: And therefore if the company converts at
4 two cents it is doing so pursuant to the expressed terms of the
5 debenture and the shares that are being issued are not
6 consideration for any modification or waiver of any provision
7 of the debenture agreement. They're being issued in strict
8 conformity of the debenture, right?

9 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor, I understand.
10

11 THE COURT: Okay. So the first sentence here doesn't
12 have anything to do with this and neither does the second
13 sentence I think because there's nothing involved in the
14 conversion of the debentures pursuant to which the company is
15 making any payment of principle on the debentures; isn't that
16

17 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we understand what your
18 Honor is saying, yes.
19

20 THE COURT: And I am correct, am I not?
21 MR. BACHNER: We do not disagree with your Honor's
22 finding.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay. And therefore this Section 4.15 has
25 nothing to do with the subject at hand. You are simply
obliged, as far as I can see the documents, to honor the
notices of conversion, right?

26 MR. BACHNER: We agree your Honor and the concern that
we had was without some kind of judicial comment on that we
27 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

12

1 didn't want, even though we frankly tended to agree, there were
2 people that did not on the conversion end, and we agree with
3 your Honor we just did not want the position of having some
4 domino effect coming over. And our position is that this in
5 fact may not have been a trigger but there were other attorneys
6 for the company --

7 THE COURT: So when you say this in fact may not be a
8 trigger what is the "this" that you are referring to?

9 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we are in agreement in our
10 initial reading which is why frankly we had proceeded so far in
11 settlement discussions that the reading that your Honor gave
12 was certainly a reading that counsel tended to agree with.

13 The problem is, your Honor, that we had some
14 disagreement and we felt it appropriate that if we were going
15 to issue the shares it be pursuant to a ruling by the Court
16 that we do so.

17 THE COURT: When you say you had some disagreement,
18 you mean there were other people who didn't agree with you.

19 MR. BACHNER: Correct. And we felt, your Honor, that
20 the most important thing is we for reporting requirements,
21 etc., your Honor, to be able to going back to the other
22 debenture holders and say we don't believe we are in violation
23 of this provision. If the want to litigate it they can
24 litigate it.

25 THE COURT: I understand that. So the only remaining
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC

2 Conference

13

3 question goes to, I believe, goes to the subject of irreparable
4 injury. What else is to be said on that subject?

5 MR. ZITTER: Your Honor, may I be heard just briefly
6 on that? Mr. Rabinowitz is here and his affirmation, but I
7 think two things are important to state. Number one and
8 Mr. Caldwell told him that the company's on the verge of
bankruptcy so that --

9 THE COURT: This is a recent statement?

10 MR. ZITTER: Yes, your Honor. It's in his e-mail. I
11 think it was a conversation on or about January 13th but he is
12 here to testify about it and that he would not honor a
13 conversion requests. He would not honor the obligation to
14 reserve shares for the benefit of the debenture holders as they
15 agreed to do with the documents. It is crystal clear that if
16 all we get here is the money judgment we will never see our
17 money. There are no assets from which to collect. The company
18 is on the verge of bankruptcy. And if all we get and indeed I
19 think -- judgment we will go into bankruptcy. So there is no
20 way we are going to get paid. In my mind that's ultimate
21 irreparable harm and the Second Circuit other cases have had to
22 brief that.

23 Even more than that, your Honor, they agreed to do all
24 this stuff. We are not asking them to do something. They
25 didn't agree. They agreed to reserve shares for our benefit.
They just ignored that obligation. Other than a court order

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

14

1 saying can't issue shares to someone else until you reserve the
2 proper amount of shares for us, how can we enforce that? If
3 all we get is damages which your Honor would in effect be
4 saying is the agreement to reserve shares is simply an
5 agreement without a remedy. I don't believe that's true. It
6 is a contract obligation. We agreed to do it.

7 Your Honor, the case is beside the case which we state
8 states that irreparable injury is present when you can't
9 collect. Also, it will be difficulty in calculating damages
10 here. Even assuming we got the stock and went out and sold it.

11 THE COURT: I understand that.

12 MR. ZITTER: There is case law which we cited which
13 shows that to be irreparable injury. And finally, a
14 corporation should be made to adhere to the terms of their
15 publicly held security. They shouldn't make the people who in
16 invest in the companies and buy public securities chase them
17 and run around. They should be compelled by the Court to do
18 what they agreed to and I think we established irreparable
19 injury more than sufficiently.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Bachner, anything else?

21 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, just on the irreparable
22 injury point to address some of the issues from Friday. Again,
23 the shares are publicly traded and the -- volume is
24 approximately 14 million shares traded per day to the extent
25 that that helps your Honor's determination.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

15

1 MR. ZITTER: That's also testimony by an attorney
2 without any facts, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. All right. I am going to
4 rule on this now. I have before me a motion for preliminary
5 injunction by the plaintiff Alpha Capital Anstalt against
6 Advanced Cell Technology Inc. The plaintiff seeks an order
7 directing Alpha Capital Technology Inc. to deliver immediately
8 2.5 million shares of its common stock to Alpha Capital and to
9 honor all future conversion requests duly submitted by Alpha
10 Capital in accordance with the agreements between the parties.

11 To declare that the conversion price of the ACTI
12 secured convertible debentures held by Alpha Capital is two
13 cents per share, subject to further downward adjustment as
14 provided in the agreement between the parties and enjoining and
15 abstaining Advanced Cell Technology additional shares of its
16 common stock to any person or entity other than Alpha Capital
17 and to other holders of Alpha Capital -- excuse me -- of
18 Advanced Cell Technology convertible debentures unless and
19 until Advanced Cell technology is in compliance with its
20 contractual obligation to reserve sufficient shares of common
21 stock or issuance to Alpha Capital and the other holders of
22 Advanced Cell Technology convertible debentures.

23 The parties have devoted the usual amount of attention
24 to the standard that governs the issuance of a preliminary
25 injunction. I needn't spend much time on it.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

16

1 On the issue of the plaintiff's likelihood of success,
2 on the basis of what I have seen and heard so far, the
3 plaintiff is substantially certain to prevail on the merits in
4 all respects. We are not here talking about a claim as to
5 which there is a fair probability of, or that there are fair
6 issues, fair ground for litigation or indeed a likelihood or
7 clear likelihood of success. Here, the plaintiff in my view is
8 substantially likely to prevail. The only argument in fact
9 advanced on behalf of the defendant in opposition to the
10 plaintiff's case was Mr. Bachner's reference to Section 4.15 of
11 the underlying agreement which was the subject of colloquy
12 between me and Mr. Bachner. Mr. Bachner and I have no
13 disagreement about it. It clearly does not constitute a
14 defense to the relief sought here. I understand he was putting
15 forward an argument that somebody else in the constellation of
16 Advanced Cell Technology wanted him to put forward and with
17 respect to that he had do that. But there is not merit to his
18 argument. That's not a comment on Mr. Bachner's efficacy, his
19 comment on plain language of Section 4.15. So this is no
20 defense to the claim.

21 So far as irreparable injury is concerned, I have read
22 with care and interest the decision by my friend and colleague,
23 Judge Holwell of this court, in Mullview Special Finance Inc.
24 v. Finial Labs Inc. It is unreported but it was rendered on
25 November 29, 2006. The docket number is 06 CV 1772. I agree

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC

17 Conference

2 entirely with everything he said on the subject of irreparable
3 harm. It is all fully applicable to this case. It's quite
4 clear that the plaintiff would have no adequate remedy of law
5 in the absence of the preliminary injunction that I am going to
6 grant. So I will issue the preliminary injunction.

7 Now, I will ask that Mr. Zitter submit to chambers,
8 hopeful by tomorrow, a proposed form of order. The temporary
9 restraining order remains in effect pending the entry of
10 preliminary injunction and the only other question that I will
11 raise is whether anybody has anything to say on the subject of
a bond.

12 MR. ZITTER: Yes, your Honor.

13 I think that there should not be a bond. Your Honor
14 knows at the discretionary with the Court the likelihood of
15 there being damages as result of a wrongly granted injunction
16 here, your Honor. I think are minimal at best. I think your
17 Honor's decision is correct. I think we're clearly entitled to
18 the injunction and as I understand it, the bond is simply to
19 protect the defendant in the event the injunction is found --
20 to be granted. I don't think there's chance of this injunction
21 having to be found is wrongfully granted.

22 THE COURT: I never think there is a chance when I
23 issue a ruling, but every now and then the Court of Appeals
24 engenders humility.

25 MR. ZITTER: This is clearly it would have to be an
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC

Conference

2 abuse of discretion. So it's not just, you would have to, I
3 don't see that there is any damage to the defendant which we
4 would have to protect against with a bond, your Honor. So I
would suggest that a bond be waived in this case.

5 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we rely on our paper.

6 THE COURT: Well, maybe you could remind me since the
7 only paper I got was I think this Caldwell certification.

8 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, we submitted a memo on, I
9 believe --

10 THE COURT: A few days ago?

11 MR. O'BRIEN: On Thursday I believe we ECFed it, your
12 Honor.

13 THE COURT: Maybe you will remind me.

14 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, it's our position that a
15 bond is required.

16 THE COURT: Well, how much for what?

17 MR. O'BRIEN: For the loss of value of the potential
18 issuance of shares to the extent that the injunction assuming
19 that the injunction is wrongfully issued there are shares, six
20 million shares that could be used by the company at the current
21 market price was 19 cents per share as of the close of
22 yesterday that could be used by the company for further
23 funding. To the extent that the injunction was wrongfully
24 issued the company would have been deprived of the use of those
25 shares for the entire time period. I believe the case law on

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

19

1 that is consistent that the loss of value of the property that
2 is enjoined is a valid basis for the bond value.

3 THE COURT: Well, but even assuming you could raise
4 six million times 19 cents or about a million dollars through a
5 good sale of the shares which I suppose is pretty questionable
6 since the stock has been selling as low as one or two cents, if
7 you try to sell six million shares all at once you probably
8 wouldn't get anything close to 19 cents. Let's pass over that.
9 You would get the million dollars and you would pay bills you
10 already owe, right? The company is under water, way under
11 water; isn't that true?

12 MR. O'BRIEN: I believe the company has significant
13 outstanding obligations, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Not to put too fine a point on it. It's
15 net worth as of March 31, 2008 was minus 28 million. Is it any
16 better than that today?

17 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, we intend to have
18 Mr. Caldwell available. To the extent that question needs to
19 be answered as I stand here I can't answer that question.

20 THE COURT: You mean you didn't even ask him?

21 MR. O'BRIEN: I set forth in Mr. Caldwell's papers the
22 share price when it was two cents per share. Significant
23 issues have occurred since then, particularly, in the last
24 month which has caused the share price to rise. The company's
25 prospects are improving. The company is close to becoming once

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

92AAAALPC

Conference

20

1 again a reporting company and in the opinion of Mr. Caldwell as
2 set forth in his affirmation -- excuse me -- in his affidavit
3 with the Court is that the company is on the path to recovery
4 and at two cents per share is hopefully a thing of the past.

5 THE COURT: Last guy I heard say that was Richard Full
6 the weekend before Lehman Brothers went down.

7 Look, it seems to me that the idea that there would be
8 the -- for a wrongful injunction is essentially speculative. I
9 think it's conceivable that some additional capital could be
10 raised. But in the last analysis I don't see that making any
11 material difference here. Even if they could raise a million
12 bucks by selling six million shares for 20 cents and 19 cents a
13 share, it's just going to another creditor, that's all. And so
14 I think what I will do is I'll require a bond be posted by next
15 Tuesday at five o'clock in the amount of \$75,000. And the
16 injunction, the TRO remains in effect until then and the
17 preliminary injunction will provide that it's continuation
18 beyond five o'clock next Tuesday will be conditioned on the
19 posting of the \$75,000 by then, but it will become effective on
20 issuance. Okay. Thank you.

21 MR. ZITTER: One more request. I am not sure whether
22 we will do this or not, but in the event the binding company
23 requires collateral will it be acceptable if the company simply
24 deposited the \$75,000 in my escrow account and I will hold that
25 in lieu of the bond?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

1 92AAAALPC

2 Conference

3 21

4 THE COURT: No. It goes to the Clerk of the Court.
5 MR. ZITTER: Generally not, your Honor. The bonding
6 company generally issues the preliminary injunction bond.

7 THE COURT: I understand that. But you are saying
8 that you would like instead of a bond if I understand you
9 correctly for you to have \$75,000 in your escrow account.

10 MR. ZITTER: I will hold it in lieu of the bond,
11 whatever conditions the preliminary injunction bond would be
12 usable for.

13 THE COURT: That not acceptable. Either you produce a
14 bond or you deliver \$75,000 to the clerk who will hold it.

15 MR. ZITTER: That's fine.

16 THE COURT: That's the way I always do it. This is
17 not.

18 MR. ZITTER: Off the record. Off the record, I've
19 done that before, but so be it.

20 THE COURT: If your adversary is content to do it that
21 way.

22 MR. BACHNER: I always tend to agree with the Court in
23 these conditions.

24 Nice to see you again, your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Likewise.

o o o

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300